



IRW



Docket No.: 249025US2SRD

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/778,030  
Applicants: Hiroshi HAMASAKI, et al.  
Filing Date: February 17, 2004  
For: LSI PACKAGE PROVIDED WITH INTERFACE  
MODULE AND METHOD OF MOUNTING THE  
SAME  
Group Art Unit: 2841  
Examiner: VIGUSHIN, JOHN B

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

**Provisional Election**

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000 (phone)  
(703) 413-2220 (fax)



DOCKET NO: 249025US2SRD

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :  
HIROSHI HAMASAKI, ET AL : EXAMINER: VIGUSHIN, JOHN B  
SERIAL NO: 10/778,030 :  
FILED: FEBRUARY 17, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2841  
FOR: LSI PACKAGE PROVIDED WITH :  
INTERFACE MODULE AND METHOD  
OF MOUNTING THE SAME

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated April 11, 2006, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-12 drawn to LSI package arranged on a mounting board, classified in class 257, subclass 707, for further examination on the merits. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may

be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-14 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 08/03)

---

Eckhard H. Kuesters  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 28,870